

Miller, Frederick

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 June 2018 15:09
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: East Folkestone - Proposed Controlled Parking Zone

Dear Sir

I am writing to put forward my objections to the proposed Controlled Parking Zone in East Folkestone:

I work in the public sector, providing a service to the public and am based in Folkestone.

- The journey to work by public transport is not viable for my journey and is also expensive. I need to use my car to get to work and also to travel to other work bases in the Shepway area during the daytime, which again are not viable journeys by public transport, notably New Romney and Lydd.
- The area defined by the new CPZ is not a wealthy part of Folkestone. Residents will now have an additional cost imposed on them for being able to park on their own roads, and for having visitors. This is a further attack on family budgets. No doubt the proposed resident parking permit fee of £30 will increase year on year. These residents already pay Council Tax to Shepway Council and Road Tax to the Government, why should they pay an additional 'tax' for parking?
- When I park on-street for work, I see residents driving off to their own workplaces. I do not consider that my parking inconveniences them, as I have left when they are arriving home for the evening. Similarly I know people park during the day in the street where I live
- It is interesting to note that there is not a similar CPZ proposed for the West end of Folkestone. Is this a co-incidence that this is the area where the council offices are located and no doubt where council employees park when they are at work?
- The provision of free unrestricted on-street parking encourages people to come into the town, not only to work, but to use the retail and leisure facilities. Do you really want Folkestone to become one of those towns that has a reputation for being a 'nightmare' to park in.
- The salaries paid to staff in my sector and no doubt to other people who are employed in Folkestone are not high and certainly do not compare with salaries in London for example. Whilst it is possible to pay for long-term parking in the town, this is a further cost out of already taxed income. Whilst paying to park is alright for the odd day – a minimum charge of approximately £20 per week (based on NCP rates at Middleburg Square) equates to an annual cost of £960. A very significant sum out of taxed income.
- Staff where I work are required to work across seven days a week – this includes a late evening finish. When staff leave the building in the winter it is dark. People want to get to their cars quickly and safely and not have to walk long distances to unattended car parks, where potential for crime is higher than perhaps on residential streets. It seems very unreasonable that the CPZ will be in operation seven days a week, so not offering the opportunity to park free of charge at the weekends. Interesting to note that the City of Westminster in central London offers free parking on meters etc. on Sundays, recognising that this encourages people into the area at the weekends.
- The creation of this CPZ will push parking into areas outside of the zone, so just replicating the problems elsewhere. Why not allow some unrestricted parking within the zone, whilst still creating some permit only parking – instead of a blanket approach across a wide area. For example, one side of each road could be permit parking only, with the other side being unrestricted parking.
- There will also be a knock-on environmental impact, as drivers will circle adjacent areas looking for parking, potentially causing more pollution.

Miller, Frederick

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 June 2018 14:57
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: FW: East Folkestone CPZ

Dear Councillors,

Please accept this email as an objection to the proposal on the basis that the CPZ adversely affects users (both staff and patients) of the Royal Victoria Hospital (RVH) and suitable alternative on-street parking facilities have not been identified (notwithstanding the unrestricted on-street parking currently located to the west of the hospital around the perimeter of Radnor Park and beyond towards the Leisure Centre).

A number of NHS employees provide clinical/support services out of the RVH and understandably have an expectation to be able to park their vehicles within a reasonable distance of the hospital. The Trust already experiences chronic recruitment and staff attraction difficulties, and making the daily commute harder for this cohort of public servants is likely to have a detrimental effect on the service as a whole. The hospital provides an invaluable health facility to the local community, with a range of specialist outpatient facilities as well as a minor injuries unit. It is also the base for a number of community staff (midwives, therapists, etc.) who serve the local community seeing patients at home and at GP surgeries and clinics.

I would request some provision be made for NHS employees to be exempt from the CPZ (the majority of whom will continue to use the unrestricted roads outside of the CPZ such as Radnor Park Avenue so it is fair to assume the impact will be minimal) or at least be able to participate in the permit scheme.

Miller, Frederick

From: Parking.Services
Sent: 22 June 2018 11:10
To: Miller, Frederick; Thompson, Paul
Subject: FW: FAO the Parking Manager

FYI

Lisa

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 June 2018 23:09
To: Parking.Services <Parking.Services@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk>
Subject: FAO the Parking Manager

Dear Sir,

I am writing for myself and on behalf of several residents of the [REDACTED] scheme who do not have access to a computer.

We respectfully request that Bradstone Road and Bradstone New Road, Folkestone, are included in the proposed scheme covering Bradstone Avenue, Devon Road, Sussex Road and others. I am aware that these two roads belong in the Guildhall North scheme but we weren't consulted when that CPZ was being considered.

The parking at present is horrendous. Many vehicle owners park up and then clear off to work for the day, thus preventing residents from parking. The two roads in question are flanked by the largest sheltered scheme in Folkestone and many residents have carers visiting on a daily basis. These carers quite often have difficulty parking.

The quality of parking is atrocious with cars parked on the corners of Bradstone New Road protruding out into Bradstone Road by 3 - 4 feet. Cars are then unable to turn properly and access is severely restricted.

Both roads are narrow, visitors cannot park and nurses, upon whom some residents are reliant due to their age and or health sometimes have to park away from where they need to be. Not to include these two roads would cause a lot of problems as vehicles unable to park in the proposed area would just move into our area.

There are often problems with vans owned by market traders as these narrow the road especially under the viaduct, and heavens knows how a fire engine would get through - there is no access from the other end of Bradstone Road and there are a lot of vulnerable people living in this small area.

If you wish to discuss this matter further my phone number is [REDACTED]

Yours faithfully,

[REDACTED]

Miller, Frederick

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 June 2018 23:34
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: Parking Permits

I'm writing regarding the notice of the intention to start issuing parking permits in many of the streets and roads close to Black Bull Road and Radnor Park Road.

The list of roads is quite comprehensive, however Watkin Road, Garden Road, and Russell Road, Mead Road, Jesmond Street are not included in the proposal.

This will mean that these roads will become the 'go to destination' for any drivers wishing to avoid the restricted/ permit areas.

I welcome the bringing in of permits, but for the roads I have mentioned where parking is already very difficult, it is going to become even worse. We need these roads to be included in the scheme too.

Introducing parking permits is a way of making people reconsider owning two or more cars. This area between Watkin Road and Mead Road will be where people will head for to park.
Many Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

Miller, Frederick

From: Parking.Services
Sent: 25 June 2018 13:39
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: FW: Undeliverable: Permit Parking

Tel: 01303 858660
Folkestone & Hythe District Council, Civic Centre
Castle Hill Avenue, Folkestone, Kent, CT20 2QY
Email: Parking.services@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk
<http://www.folkestone-hythe.gov.uk/>
Follow us on Twitter and Facebook

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 25 June 2018 10:39
To: Parking.Services
Subject: Fw: Undeliverable: Permit Parking

On Sunday, 24 June 2018, 18:50, "postmaster@shepway.gov.uk" <postmaster@shepway.gov.uk> wrote:

Delivery has failed to these recipients or groups:

fredrickmiller@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk (fredrickmiller@folkestone-hythe.gov.uk)

The email address that you entered couldn't be found. Check the address and try resending the message. If the problem continues, please contact your helpdesk.

[REDACTED]

24th June 2018

Mr Miller

I am writing to you concerning the proposed permit parking in Bradstone Avenue. Excluding Bradstone Road and Bradstone New Road.

I am worried that excluding these roads will mean more and more people will park on these roads leaving nowhere for residents to park anywhere near their homes.

We already have problems with parking and access for deliveries and emergency vehicles. I believe the proposed permit parking will only make matters worse. I ask that if you go through with these changes that you extend them to include Bradstone Road and Bradstone New Road.

I hope you will look at this issue and make the necessary changes.

Many Thanks
[REDACTED]

Miller, Frederick

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 06 June 2018 20:07
To: Traffic Orders
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Permits

Dear Sirs, re permits for Bradstone Avenue. This is a good idea but it needs to include the other half of the road called Bradstone Road. We already have a problem with parking with cars backed up to under the arches and the beginning of Bradstone Avenue. If you only give permits to half the road it will make matters much worse. Please include Bradstone Road in your permits as well if you go ahead with permits for Bradstone Avenue Yours sincerely, [REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone



June 8 2018

Cardiff Council
11-12, The Hayes
Cardiff, CF10 1AA

Dear Sir/Madam,

We are writing to express our annoyance at the introduction of a controlled parking scheme in our area.

There are several points in the letter sent to residents that need explanation. Perhaps you could send us details.

1 - Which roads precisely, were consulted?

2- What number of residents, road by road, replied?

3 - What was the exact number of those 'for and against'? Again road by road.

Your letter mentions that some roads did not support the proposal. Why then should they be dragged into the scheme?

Since the rules governing multiple occupancy of houses, i.e. the creation of flats from single dwellings, were changed, parking has become more difficult after 6.00pm, a time not covered by your permits. This raises an important objection. Your scheme means you will, at one or two permits per residence be charging for more permits than parking spaces offered. Is this legal?

We, and many of the residents of this street, feel this plan is simply a revenue raiser with a price that will increase annually and as pensioners this is not a prospect we relish.

Yours faithfully,



Miller, Frederick

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 June 2018 17:21
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: proposed parking restrictions

Dear Sirs,

With reference to the proposed parking restrictions along Bradstone Avenue, etc. I, along with the majority of other residents of Bradstone Road, would like to strongly object to this proposal. We have been campaigning for similar restrictions along Bradstone Road and Bradstone new Road for some time now and have been ignored. If this proposal goes ahead, it will make the already untenable parking problems in our roads much much worse and will lead to even more bad feeling towards the non residents that park here with no regard to residents.

I have been informed that these restrictions were aimed ONLY at CT19 areas, we would ask why and also why they could not have been extended a few more feet to include our streets.

The residents and I would like to know if there are any plans to implement similar restrictions in our roads. If not, what are the council(s) going to do about the chaos that will no doubt ensue from this(these) decision(s) Already, many of the residents cannot park outside their own homes, mainly because a lot of drivers do not want to pay for their commute parking.

I would like to point out that most of the residents are quite willing to pay the price for residents only parking even though we feel that this is also unfair.

As things stand at the moment, taxis picking up in Bradstone Road and Bradstone New road, have to block any traffic. Delivery vans have to do the same, as do any other trades people that need access. Any emergency services to this area have difficulty getting into these roads and a lot of the residents are elderly and infirm. These restrictions could actually endanger life! I have even witnessed the refuse collectors having extreme difficulty getting to us.

There are also several untaxed, uninsured and abandoned vehicles being left around this area and the DVLA are doing nothing about them. Some are even in public carparks and blocking out residents. I am sorry if this is a rather long email, but we, the residents feel very strongly about this matter.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]



Virus-free. www.avg.com

Miller, Frederick

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 June 2018 21:51
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: New Parking Restrictions Around Folkestone Central

To Whom It May Concern.

Today i have seen your notices regarding changes to the parking around folkestone central and making it permit holder only.

This is a ridiculous change, what are the people who commute to London every day but cant afford the £700+ a year to park at the train stations going to do?

Is there a way that people like myself can still park in these areas? as i cannot afford the extortionate prices being charged for parking at the stations and i do not live within reasonable walking distance to either station.

Please can you provide a solution on how i am still going to be able to get to my train in the morning? as you affectively forcing me not to be able to work.

Please can you advise ASAP as a matter of urgency.

Regards

[REDACTED]

Miller, Frederick

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 10 June 2018 12:06
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: Re. Road permits 2018 Folkestone

To whoever this may concern.

We have found out that you are putting permits into place in the Folkestone area.

I myself live in Bradstone New Road Folkestone which is a cul-de-sac, and is forever full of cars which do not reside here. We have a lot of trouble parking, and so I feel that you should look into this matter and maybe make our road a permit area for residents. The reason I say this, is because the areas that you are making permit only, will only put more pressure on our road. We have put this forward many times, but fail to get any where. Please can you try and look into this matter.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPad

Miller, Frederick

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 June 2018 11:22
To: Traffic Orders
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: New Zone F - East Folkestone Proposed Controlled Parking Zone

Dear Sirs

I write on behalf of St John's Church, St John's Church Road, Folkestone, CT19 5BQ to express some concerns about the new Zone F controlled parking proposals, which we feel may well disadvantage our Church.

We have well over a hundred people attending our Sunday morning service, and our premises are used during the week by community groups both in the evenings and during the day. We have some, but limited parking in the church grounds and inevitably many of those coming to our buildings need to park in the street. It should be said that we have a long frontage on to St John's Road, which, however, is often taking up by residents parking. Two hour parking could be quite restrictive, with three hour parking being more helpful

We currently have half a dozen or more disabled people attending our Sunday morning services, and they need to be able to park as closely as possible to the Church.

Another factor we are concerned about is parking for large weddings and funerals, or for other large services, for example, at Christmas. Further restrictions in the road and surrounding area could make it difficult for people attending to park within a reasonable walking distance. There is no nearby public car park.

Could we ask you to consider the following options please:

1. Providing some disabled parking bays/ or bays reserved for the Church
2. Lifting the 8.00 - 18.00 restrictions on a Sunday (Public Holidays, after all, are to be excluded)
3. Extending the two hour parking in St John's Church Road to three hour parking
4. Providing the Church with "worshipper permits" or some staff volunteer permits.

We would be happy to meet with you on site to discuss

Yours faithfully

Miller, Frederick

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 June 2018 10:47
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: Parking permit proposal Broadmead Road and surrounding area

Dear Traffic Orders Team

I am a resident of Broadmead Rd, and I believe that making the surrounding area parking permitted will not make any positive difference to local residents.

During week days there is plenty of parking available the problem comes after 7pm and at weekends when most residents are at home because there are not enough spaces in the road for all the residents cars. So parking permits will not change this as there will still be the same amount of cars needing parking. A lot of residents in this area are on low incomes like myself and feel that another charge on top on the council tax rises is unfair, as it will not benefit us, just more money for the council.

Yours sincerely
[REDACTED]

Miller, Frederick

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 21 June 2018 11:40
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: Parking Restrictions

The proposed plans to restrict parking will have a detrimental effect on visitors. Parking is so expensive anyway and will deter people from coming to Folkestone in the future.

It also means those who work in Folkestone face an expensive bill each week.

All this will do is force people to park further away into other streets and just take the problem elsewhere.

The other option is to make the car parks much cheaper for long term parking to not only encourage people to use them but help those who visit and work in the town. The cheapest one at present is £3.50 a day but that soon adds up for regulars.

Seven days a week is also excessive

Give people a break and actually encourage visitors as the knock on effect will also harm businesses in the area as people will go elsewhere to shop and socialise.

[REDACTED]

Sent from my Huawei Mobile

Miller, Frederick

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 22 June 2018 21:22
To: Traffic Orders; Traffic Orders
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Proposed Introduction Of (CPZ) Residents Response Dated 22/6/18
Attachments: RESIDENTS PARKING APPLICATION 2-11-17.eml

Importance: High

Dear Sirs Madam

In Response to the Notice Served and Replied before the 29th June 2018

We the Bournemouth Road Residents, would like to draw your attention to what we consider to be a serious problem in relation to the parking times 8:00 am – 6:00pm in your proposal.

Please do not think that we are being petty or disruptive, as we do have genuine concerns regarding the safety of pedestrians.

These concerns revolve around the poor visibility on this specific section of Bournemouth Road between the main road Radnor Park Road and the Junctions at Bournemouth Gardens and Radnor Park Crescent.

As you may be aware at certain times of the year when twilight occurs around 6: pm. pedestrians can become almost invisible to motorists, this becomes a particular problem in our section of the Road because of the sheer physical size of the trees.

They are massively out of all proportion in relation to the size of the road and the pavement, this situation seems to be unique to our Road and no one else seems to have a comparable problem. This is made even worse when you factor in that this is the main walk through from town for dog walkers commuters and hospital visitors.

In this event we would ask you to please consider pushing back the free for all in parking that is proposed for **6:00pm** and extend this to **8:pm** to at least allow the very worst of the rush hour to settle down. We the residents all feel we are in quite a unique section of road in the local area, due to the increased demand for parking as a result of the local amenities available including the children's area in Radnor Park, Hospital Times, and the extended sports facilities available.

We believe that some of the major branches of the trees need to be removed, so that at the very least both pedestrians and cars stand a chance of seeing each other. We are sure that you would agree that none of us have any desire to see an avoidable and tragic accident take place this autumn just as the nights start to draw in.

As result of the on-going issues in our section of the road, which have affected safety and our quality of life We the Bournemouth Road Residents are asking for our concerns and comments to be urgently considered before the proposed order is finalised

- **Resident Permit Holders only parking up until 8:pm Mon-Sun**
- **Parking and waiting Restrictions limited to 1 hour only**
- **To reduce the size or removal of the Trees in our section of the road, which cause obstruction and hazardous conditions**

The affected Residents in Bournemouth Road Consulted and in support : No's

Miller, Frederick

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 June 2018 11:10
To: Traffic Orders [REDACTED]
Subject: Proposed Introduction of Controlled Parking Zone, (East Folkestone Parking Zone) Order 2018

I wish to object to the latest parking zone proposals. Particularly in Broadmead road between the junctions with Wiltie Gardens and Bournemouth Road. And in Victoria Road.

My concern is that I and my family will not be able to park as we do now within reasonable walking distance of [REDACTED]. Currently we do so for periods of 4 -6 hours in the day time while we provide daily care for my very elderly parents and we do not incur any parking expenses. Any future need to purchase visitors permits would increase our costs and amount to a tax on caring for the elderly. Such charges would make more difficult our efforts to be good citizens who take their responsibilities to the older generation seriously.

It is also a worry that there will be limits on the number of visitor permits that may be purchased in one year.

I notice the proposal for Broadmead Road is currently for a limit of one hour free parking in shared use bays. This is far too short a period. I regularly park in a busy Zone near Kent and Canterbury Hospital where the allowance is 4 hours. And I know that this arrangement presents no problems to the Hospital, local residents, motorists, or emergency services, yet it has non-the -less resulted in an orderly parking situation. Clearly the pressures in that case are not dissimilar to those in Broadmead Road. Four to six hours would be much more accommodating to those local citizens and rate payers, many of limited means, who need to park locally. And such an extended period would more easily enable me and other members of my family to support my parents. There is of course no specific evidence or argument in the survey conducted by the Council or in the subsequent reports produced that demonstrate longer periods of free parking in shared parking bays would seriously interfere with the stated objectives of the CPZ.

There is also no merit in the notion that any time limits set for the proposed new shared parking bays need to be aligned with those in neighbouring zones or indeed streets, and no evidence is adduced by the Council to justify uniformity. Of course one is naturally suspicious that the proposal for shorter periods of free parking - one and two hours - has more to do with a desire to maximise income from visitor permits rather than the effective management of parking

As you will see I am deeply concerned at the impact the changes to parking which the Council's plans will have. It is a worry that uniformity is being pursued for its own sake and without reference to empirical evidence. And that the council is choosing a more punitive approach than is necessary to achieve its stated aims. I welcome the news that these arrangements will be reviewed in a year's time but would ask that the council adjusts the order it is currently seeking to allow : shared parking bays in Broadmead Road and Victoria Road with free parking limits of between 4 and 6 hours, and to ensure that there are no areas in these roads where parking permit holders only are allowed to park. Of course it would be ideal if such a more liberal approach could be adopted throughout the East Folkestone Parking Zone.

Now if the Council were prepared to proceed on the basis I have described, to permit a more limited interference with the individual's ability to go about their day to day affairs, to be more libertarian in approach. And at the end of a year evidence could be produced to show that the Council's aims had not been achieved, well then of course there would be an argument for a different approach.

Miller, Frederick

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 June 2018 21:56
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: Proposed parking permits in Bradstone Ave

Dear Sir/ Madam

I am writing to you concerning the traffic controlled zones and implementation of parking permits to the areas surrounding Bradstone Avenue. I am strongly opposed and deeply saddened by this proposal.

My 72 year old father lives in Bradstone Avenue and has done since he purchased our family home in 1972. I myself lived there for 29 years and even though I now have my own family, I still consider his house to be my home. I visit my Dad at least 4 times a week and love spending time with my own family in Folkestone. However, due to the ever increasing parking restrictions the council are putting into place this is already putting constraints on how we spend our time.

My Dad suffers with emphysema and is currently recovering from major surgery on his leg as a result of a fall a few months ago. He is reliant on me to get his weekly shopping, do his cleaning and daily household chores, go into town for him to do any transactions or get him supplies and not to mention just spend time talking to him, as me and my young daughter are the only visitors he sees each week. As you can imagine these things cannot be done within an hour, nor should I want to feel like I am rushing out on my own father, which has already too often been the case with my Mother.

As I already mentioned the parking restrictions have already had an impact on us as a family. My mum unfortunately had a stroke 15years ago and now lives in a care home in Millfield Road Folkestone, the only way we can spend any decent length of time with her is to park at Dad's and walk up or we have to rush out of the door after an hour. However, now with the proposed parking permits we will not even be able to do this. Not only that but when I take Dad up to see Mum in his car, which is modified for wheelchair access, I need to leave my car at his address.

As I am not a resident, nor am I a business I cannot apply for a permit. Which leaves me with the only option of buying visitors permits to see both my own mother and father. Based on the price of £5.20/book just to park at my Dad's address it is going to cost me over £200 a year just to visit my own family and carry out essential tasks that my dad needs doing to keep him going on a daily basis. I am still a Folkestone and Hythe District resident, I pay my council tax like everyone else and I feel very let down by the council by this proposal. If I were able to walk from home to get to where I needed to be, I would. However, this is not possible as I now live in too far away. I appreciate that at times it may be difficult to park within the areas proposed, but this has always been the case and there are no real problems during the working day. If this decision is to go ahead, I feel panicked by the thought of not being able to care for my elderly father properly, because neither I nor he can afford to be spending that much money on visitor's permits a year.

Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]

5. The following table shows the number of people who attended the concert in each of the five years from 2000 to 2004.

| Year | Number of people |
|------|------------------|
| 2000 | 1200 |
| 2001 | 1500 |
| 2002 | 1800 |
| 2003 | 2100 |
| 2004 | 2400 |

Miller, Frederick

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 29 June 2018 10:22
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: East Folkestone parking zone order

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to you in regards to the East Folkestone parking zone order as I would like to raise my concern.

Many people, myself included, work in Folkestone town and don't have access to any on-site parking. Therefore we rely on the streets mentioned in this notice to park our cars during hours of work. This current situation is already far from ideal as it entails approximately a 15 minute walk to work after managing to find a parking space.

If this order does go through then the only option left will be to pay for parking every single day that I work. This comes at a minimum cost of £3 a day (at the Leas car park which is often full), which is the equivalent to £720 a year, at the least.

I would also like to mention the possible damage to independent businesses that this may cause. Many a time people have said to me that they avoid Folkestone town at all costs due to the atrocious parking. Some saying that they wouldn't even consider working in the area due to this issue.

It just seems like a step in the wrong direction considering so much money and hard work has been put into making Folkestone more appealing to tourists and locals alike.

If you have a solution to the problem I have mentioned above then please do let me know.

Regards,

Miller, Frederick

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 29 June 2018 16:39
To: Traffic Orders
Subject: Highway Robbery

Dear Mr. Miller,

I wish to object to the forthcoming parking orders apertaining to Bradstone Avenue. If I remember correctly, the reason for their implementation was because of the alleged parking of people using Folkestone Central rail station. This is untrue, simply because Bradstone Avenue and the immediate surrounding roads are too far from the station to aid these people. I have lived in Bradstone Avenue for 16 years and have generally had no trouble parking, even though I usually work nights and am able to nearly always find a parking space in or around my street when I return home from work in the early hours of the morning. During the day there is always a sufficient amount of space available on a first come basis. My fear is that not only will I have to pay to park (whereas currently there is no cost), but also that due to my working hours I will not be able to park my vehicle anywhere near my home, and will, most likely, have to remain awake until 08.00 so that I can move it from any space that I do find to my street when in theory spaces will become available due to the time frame proposed. It seems to me that if this money-making exercise is to go ahead (benefitting only the Council's coffers and not local residents) it would be more effective if the hours of restriction were reversed, that is from 18.00 until 08.00 when there does seem to be a race for space. Furthermore, there is the question of the cost of the signage and whether this will be correctly installed and maintained (currently the no right turn at the end of my street is routinely ignored and it seem that only something like a serious accident will force the authorities to address this abuse); the cost of enforcement of illegally-parked vehicles; the problems tradesmen may face when visiting my house; and finally there is the increased hassle (and cost) of friends and family losing the ability of unscheduled visits thus infringing on my (and their) right to a normal family life.

Yours faithfully,